GENETIC PATTERNS OF Tridacna crocea IN THE BOHOL SEA

Maria Rio A. Naguit Jose Rizal Memorial State University Dapitan City, Philippines

ABSTRACT

The genetic patterns of six populations of the giant clam, Tridacna crocea from reefs in the Bohol Sea was determined using mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase 1 (CO1) primers. Samples from the Spratly group of islands were included for comparison. Results showed a remarkably high level of haplotypic diversity but low nucleotide diversity. Hierarchical Analysis of Molecular Variance (AMOVA) revealed fine scale genetic differentiation among geographical areas, however, within group analysis showed homogeneity in the Bohol Sea which indicates gene flow among the populations. This translates to MPA connectivity and highlights the importance of each MPA sampled as both source and sink of T. crocea in the Bohol sea and adjacent areas.

Keywords: mitochondrial, genetic structure, giant clam, tridacna crocea

Introduction

Spatially distributed adult populations of demersal and benthic marine species possessing pelagic larvae have the potential for considerable levels of connectivity among local populations. Connectivity is simply defined as the exchange of individuals among marine populations. It is potentially of considerable importance, particularly those mediated by larval dispersal, for determining demography of local populations (Sale & Kritzer. 2003; Caley et al., 1996, Sale, 1998; Mora & Sale, 2002). Knowledge of the extent of connectivity, and its demographic consequences, is particularly important for population management for fisheries or conservation (Sale & Kritzer, 2003; Roberts, 1997; Kinlan et al, 2005; Sale et al., 2006). Understanding the patterns of connectivity is important for any form of spatially specific management of marine populations, especially if management tools include use of marine protected areas (MPAs).

Tridacna crocea (Bivalvia: Mollusca) is the smallest among the giant clams. Just like any other bivalve, it has planktonic larval stage in its life cycle. It takes 8-10 days for this species to settle and begin its sessile life on massive coral heads and limestone (Alcazar, 1988) Thus, its dispersal is influenced greatly by currents. Among the giant clams, *T. crocea* is the most abundant in Philippine reefs despite the existence of commercial harvesting (Gomez & Alcala, 1988; Alcala, 1986) particularly in south Tubbataha and Pamilacan Island. Exports of *T. crocea* in vast amounts have been known, thus, efforts were made to protect its population (Gomez & Alcala, 1988).

Assessing gene variation within and between giant clam populations is a basic part of a giant clam conservation plan. Earlier studies on giant clam genetic structure in the west Pacific region (Benzie, & Williams, 1995, Benzie, J.A.H. and Williams, S.T. 1997) French Polynesia (Laurent & Salvat. 2002) and eastern Philippine seaboard (Ravago-Gotanco et al., 2007) utilized allozymes with protein electrophoresis. More recently, Kochzius and Nuryanto (2008) and DeBoer et al. (2014) determined patterns of genetic connectivity in Tridacna crocea in the Indo-Malay Archipelago using the mitochondrial DNA sequence data. Molecular analysis of genetic variability and phylogeny of bivalves using mitochondrial genes (mtDNA), cytochrome c oxidase I (COI) have shown that COI is a suitable marker to infer connectivity in bivalve populations (Luttikhuizen et al. 2007; Ward, .2000). Molecular markers at the DNA-level could justify and reveal better gene flow patterns since the variations at this level come from the changes of a base that may be found in both coding and noncoding regions of the genome (Yu et al., 2000).

Earlier study on genetic connectivity within the Bohol Sea was on two fish species, Dascyllus trimaculatus and Pterocaesio pisang by Ablan [3] using microsatellites. However, the use of microsatellites requires longer time, more complicated equipment and expertise to develop them compared to mitochondrial DNA and allozyme markers (Ward, 2000). In addition, even two closely related and co-occurring species can exhibit substantially different connectivity patterns (Becker, et al., 2007). Local differences in life-history parameters interacting with ocean currents may cause differences connectivity patterns. Variations in larval duration, delav in of metamorphosis, initiation of spawning, local mortality, and vertical position of the larvae within the water column may affect connectivities. The challenge remains to integrate our understanding of the different connectivity patterns of key species as basis for the formulation of a more effective

management scheme of MPA network in the region. Hence, further studies should be undertaken on other groups of marine organisms with passive larval dispersal like the giant clams to enhance and deepen our understanding on the connectivity patterns in the Bohol Sea and adjacent seas.

For many marine species, population connectivity is determined mainly by tides and ocean currents transporting eggs, larvae and juveniles between distant patches of suitable habitat (Palumbi, S.R., 2001; Treml, 2008; Shanks, 2003; Scheltema, 1986; Scheltema, 1988). Bohol Sea is connected to the Philippine Sea and the Pacific Ocean through the Surigao Strait at the northeast and to the Sulu Sea at the southwest (Fig. 1). Meñez et al. (2006) inferred a southwest movement of surface ocean currents in the Bohol Sea which occurs during the northeast monsoon. With this direction of water current, reefs at the entrance of the Bohol Sea can be possible sources of propagules. Whereas, during the SW monsoon water enters the Bohol Sea via the Dipolog Strait from the Sulu Sea which in turn receives currents from the South China Sea. The U.S.NLOM (2008) showed similar current patterns in the Bohol Sea, however, have found the occurrence of eddies and gyres on certain times of the year (Fig. 1). Furthermore, Bohol Sea water enters into the Tañon Strait over the shelf and into the strait driven by water density variations maintained by turbulence generated by the strong constricted flow at the southern opening (2002). Within Tañon Strait, flood tides move surface currents northward while ebb tides typically. move surface currents southward. During the NE monsoon, surface currents during flood phase showed a predominantly strong northward flow at the eastern side (close to the Cebu coast) of the strait which reversed during ebb tides. The Commission found that during SW monsoon, surface currents in the northern boundary did not seem to undergo complete reversals but showed cross strait transport just off the northern tip of Negros Island. Unlike the northern boundary, the flow through the southern boundary underwent complete reversals with the tides.

The Visayan Sea, where Carbin Reef is situated, is located in the midst of the Visayan Islands that comprise the central portion of the Philippines (Fig. 1). It is connected to the Samar Sea to the northeast, the Camotes Sea to the southeast, the Bohol Sea to the southwest via the Tañon Strait, and the Panay Gulf to the southwest via the Guimaras Strait. On the other hand, the Southeastern Samar site (Fig. 1) was hypothesized in this study to be a possible source of propagules of *T. crocea* and other broadcast spawning marine invertebrates, driven by the Mindanao current flowing southward from the bifurcation of the North Equatorial Current (NEC) from the Pacific Ocean. Mindanao Current has a maximum speed of approximately 1 m s⁻¹ and 200 km width (Wijffels, 1995; Takaomi et al., 2003; Ravago-Gotanco *et al.*, 2007). According to Nitani (1982), Qu (2002) and Ravago *et al.* (2007) the bifurcation latitude is estimated to lie between 11 and 14.5 N which exhibits seasonal meridional shifting from 14.8 in summer up to 17 N during winter (Qiu & Lukas, 1996). Alino and Gomez (Aliño & Gomez, 1993) believed that the divergent current pattern generated by the NEC bifurcation is one of the primary determinants in the north-south geographical differentiation of reef species composition along the eastern Philippines where Southeastern Samar is located.

This study therefore tests the hypothesis that the prevailing water currents in the Bohol Sea, Tañon Strait, Visayan Sea and Southeastern Samar influence the geneflow among *Tridacna crocea* populations in those areas.

Methods

Sampling, DNA Extraction, Amplification and Sequencing

Tridacna crocea populations from the reefs along Tañon Strait, Bohol Sea, Visayan Sea, Southeastern Samar and an outgroup, the Spratly Islands, were sampled (Fig 1). A small piece of tissue from the mantle margin was snipped using a pair of surgical scissors. The tissue was placed in small vials with 95% ethanol and stored at -20°C. Genomic DNA was extracted from approximately 1 mm² Tridacna crocea mantle tissue. This was done using TNES-urea digestion buffer (6 M urea, 1M Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 5 M NaCl, 0.5 M EDTA, and 1% SDS or sodium dodecyl sulfate) as described in Wasko et al. (2003) and Proteinase K treatment followed by standard phenol-Partial sequences (500 bp) of the chloroform extraction method. mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase 1 (COI) gene were amplified with a specific primer for Tridacna crocea (Tridacna 1F 5'- ACC CTT TAY TTT TTA TTA GCA Y- 3'; Tridacna 3R 5'- CAA TGC TGT AAT CGC CAA TGA C-3'). PCR reactions were performed into a 50 µL reaction volume consisting of 1x PCR buffer, 2 mM MgCl2, 10mM dNTP, 0.2 µM of forward and reverse primers, 0.5 U of Promega Taq polymerase products and 20-25 ng extracted genomic DNA. Cycling times were 1 min at 94 °C followed by 6 cycles of 30 s at 94 °C denaturation, 1 min 30 s at 45 °C annealing and 1 min at 72 °C elongation, 36 cycles of 30 sec at 94 °C, 1 min 30 sec at 51 °C, 1 min at 72 °C plus a final 5 min extension at 72 °C. The PCR products were visualized using 1% (w/v) agarose gel electrophoresis and were sequenced on an ABI 377 or an ABI 3730 automated sequencer using Big Dye (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) terminator chemistry.

Genetic diversity and structure

DNA sequences were subjected to NCBI's BLAST sequence similarity search (1990) to confirm sample identification. These were then aligned and edited using the Geneious v.3 [46]. Standard indices of haplotypes and nucleotide diversity were computed using DnaSP v. 4 [47] and Arlequin 3.1 [48], which was also used to conduct Fu's FS and Tajima's D tests of selective neutrality. Variation among and within collection sites was partitioned by hierarchical Analysis of Molecular Variance (AMOVA) using ARLEQUIN version 3.1 (Excoffier, 2006). Cluster analysis was conducted by constructing a phylogram on Kimura 2-P genetic distance using the neighbor joining (NJ) method. Pairwise comparisons of F-statistics were made to look at significant genetic differences within and among populations. Finally, isolation-by-distance effects on population genetic structure was investigated using Reduced Major Axis (RMA) regression analysis and significance of the correlation was tested by Mantel Test utilizing the Isolation by Distance Web Service (IBDWS Version 3.15). The statistical significance of correlations between distance matrices was obtained from 10,000 random permutations of matrix elements.



Figure 1.The study sites (And current profiles of the Bohol Sea, Tanon Strait and neighboring seas. Ocean currents based on the US-NLOM May 2006 (white arrows), Menez *et al.* (2006) (dark arrows) and Tañon Strait Commission (2002) (light arrows). Site codes found in Table 1. *Basemap modified by J.Maypa from Reefbase GIS .*

Results

Genetic Diversity

A total of 133 *Tridacna crocea* were screened for variation from a 487bp partial mitochondrial COI sequence and detected 54 haplotypes, 41 of which were unique to single individuals. Of the 487 bases, 46 (9.45%) were polymorphic sites and 248 monomorphic sites. Neighbor-joining analysis of the 54 haplotypes identified ten clades (not shown in this paper). As indicated in Table 1, haplotype diversity was high in all populations (range: 0.735 - 0.957) with populations from Pamilacan having the lowest and Camiguin as the highest while nucleotide diversity was generally small in all populations. Pamilacan exhibited the smallest nucleotide diversity (0.0063) while SE Samar, the highest (0.0114). The overall haplotype diversity (Hd) was 0.889 and nucleotide diversity (PiT, π) was 0.01. The general pattern of relatively high haplotypic diversity and low nucleotide diversity could be due to population expansion following bottleneck (Grant and Bowen, 1998).

Genetic Structure and Relationships Among Populations

Unstructured AMOVA results based on Kimura 2-parameter revealed a highly significant structure ($F_{ST} = 0.197$, p<0.05) with 80.32% of the variance within populations but only 19.68% among populations (Table 2). Hierarchical AMOVA separating the populations into five geographical subdivisions - Bohol Sea, Tañon Strait, Visayan Sea, Southeastern Samar and South China Sea revealed a very high significant genetic differentiation among the five geographical locations ($F_{CT}=0.220$, p =0.039) and within populations ($F_{ST} = 0.232$, p=0.00), with 22.02% of the variance due to differences among the five groups. The 1.22% of the variation was among populations within those groups. Among populations within groups, variation was low (F_{SC} : 0.016, p = 0.11), but, not significant. P-values calculated from 1023 random permutations represent the probability of obtaining a more extreme variance component and F-statistic than the observed values by chance alone (Excoffier and Schneider, 2005).

A neighbor-joining tree was computed from the matrix of corrected genetic distances in PHYLIP v. 3.6 (Fig. 2). The Bohol Sea populations clustered together, particularly Pamilacan and Surigao, while Camiguin branched out separately. Populations from Spratly (South China Sea), southeastern Samar, Bolisong (Tañon Strait) and Carbin Reef (Visayan Sea) clustered successively.

AMOVA analysis of the Bohol Sea group showed no significant structuring ($F_{ST} = 0.018$, p= 0.123) (not shown in this paper). This value is lower than the value obtained by Ablan (2005) with *Pterocaesion pisang* utilizing microsatellites, where the overall $F_{ST} = 0.0263$. According to Hauser and Ward (1998), this value is the typical differentiation level detected by mtDNA and microsatellites for small pelagic fishes. Pairwise comparison of the F_{ST} values at 1000 permutations revealed genetic

relatedness between Camiguin and Pamilacan ($F_{ST} = 0.017$, p= 0.156), as well as Pamilacan and Surigao ($F_{ST} = -0.006$, p=0.530) and Camiguin and Surigao ($F_{ST} = 0.03$, p = 0.075). This result conforms to the previous study of Ablan (2005) in the Bohol Sea using microsatellites in *Pterocaesio pisang* where all populations were homogeneous except for Mantigue in Camiguin Island. Although her study sampled different areas from the present study, still it gives a general description of the Bohol Sea in terms of demographic connectivity. Fig. 2 shows that Camiguin branched out from the Pamilacan and Surigao cluster.

Table 1. Mitochondrial *cytochrome oxidase* 1(C01) genetic variation at each site. N – number of gene copies; Hd – Haplotype diversity; Pi, π nucleotide diversities; θ_s - Theta s, calculated in Arlequin v. 3.11 (Excoffier, 2006) and DnaSP v. 4 (Rozas and Rozas, 1999). BOL – Bolisong; CAM- Camiguin; CAR- Carbin; PAM- Pamilacan; SPR-Spratlys; SUR- Surigao; SES- SE Samar.

Population	N	No. of Polymorphic Sites	No. of Haplotypes	Hd	Ρί, π	θs
BOL	13	20	10	0.92 <u>+</u> 0.069	0.009 <u>+</u> 0.005	6.44 <u>+</u> 2.76
CAM	26	32	18	0.96 <u>+</u> 0.027	0.010 <u>+</u> 0.006	8.39 <u>+</u> 2.99
CAR	26	18	11	0.78 <u>+</u> 0.079	0.006 <u>+</u> 0.004	4.72 <u>+</u> 1.82
PAM	23	21	11	0.74 <u>+</u> 0.100	$0.006 \\ \pm 0.004$	5.69 <u>+</u> 2.19
SPR	15	24	11	0.95 <u>+</u> 0.040	0.010 <u>+</u> 0.006	7.38 <u>+</u> 2.88
SUR	17	20	9	0.89 ± 0.054	$0.008 \\ + 0.005$	5.92 +2.41
SES	10	17	7	0.91 +0.077	0.011 +0.007	6.01 +2.76

Tabl	e 2.	A	MOVA	results.	(A)	Unstru	icture	d; (E	B) five	groups:	Bohol
Sea,	Tan	on	Strait,	Visayan	sea,	Leyte	Gulf	and	South	China	Sea.*=
signi	fican	t a	t p <u><</u> 0.5.								

	%variation	F-statistic	Р
A. Unstructured			
Among populations	19.7		
Within populations	80.3	FST = 0.197	0.0000*
B. Five groups ((Bohol Sea,			
Tañon Strait, Visayan Sea,			
Leyte Gulf & South China			
Sea)			
Among groups	22.02	FCT= 0.220	0.039*
Among populations	1.22	FSC= 0.016	0.110
within groups			
Within populations	76.77	FST = 0.232	0.000*

Population of *T. crocea* from Southeastern Samar was the most isolated. Pairwise comparison of $F_{ST}s$ revealed Southeastern Samar population to have consistently higher and significant F_{ST} from Bohol Sea populations (Pamilacan, $F_{ST} = 0.53$, p<0.05; 305.2 Km distance; Camiguin, $F_{ST} = 0.44$, p<0.05; 244.8 Km distance,; and Surigao, $F_{ST} = 0.55$, p<0.05; 147.68 Km distance), Tañon Strait (Bolisong, $F_{ST} = 0.46$ p<0.05; 419.2 Km distance), and Visayan Sea (Carbin, $F_{ST} = 49$, p<0.05; 566.4 Km distance) populations (Table 3, Fig. 1).

South China Sea (Spratly) which is about 1163.2 km from Tañon Strait, has a weak but significant genetic difference (F_{ST} =0.11, p<0.05). It also showed genetic differentiation from the Visayan Sea (F_{ST} =0.12, p<0.05; 1326.4 km distance) and all populations from Bohol Sea (Pamilacan, F_{ST} = 0.16, p<0.05, 1153.6 Km distance; Camiguin, F_{ST} = 0.05, p<0.05, 1187. 2Km; Surigao, F_{ST} =0.15, p<0.05, 1102.4 km) (Table 3). A weak but significant genetic variation was also found between Tañon Strait and Visayan Sea (F_{ST} =0.07, p=0.03) about 161.6 km apart. As shown in Table 3, two populations from Bohol Sea also showed moderate but significant genetic variation from Tañon Strait (Pamilacan, F_{ST} = 0.15, p<0.05 and Surigao, F_{ST} = 0.11, p=0.00). On the other hand, Tañon Strait *T. crocea* population has genetic affinity with Camiguin population (F_{ST} =0.03, p=0.11,

203.2 km distance) (Table 3). Significant levels of genetic heterogeneity between the Visayan Sea (Carbin) and the islands of Pamilacan (F_{ST} = 0.17, p=0.00) and Surigao (F_{ST} = 0.16, p=0.00) were also detected. The Visayan Sea, however, showed genetic homogeneity with Camiguin (F_{ST} = 0.01, p=0.20) (Table 3).

FST values from pairwise comparisons of the seven individual populations showed a wide scatter and detected no significant relationship to geographical distance (Mantel Test, r = 0.046, p = 0.203 from 1000 randomizations) (not shown). However, significant patterns of isolation by distance was seen when the Southeastern Samar group was excluded (due to its consistent high F_{ST} values from all sites) (r = 0.416, p = 0.020 from 1000 randomizations) (Fig 3).

Discussion

The genetic relatedness of the three populations within the Bohol Sea can be explained by the ocean currents inferred by Meñez *et al.* (2006)and US-NLOM (2007) which moved southwest in the Bohol Sea during the NE monsoon driven by the rising of the water level at the Pacific side (Fig.1) which reverses during the SW monsoon. Sulu Sea water which receives currents from the South China Sea and enters the Bohol Sea via the Dipolog Strait during the SW monsoon (Fig.1). It should be observed that the farthest distance within the Bohol Sea was 180.8 km, Pamilacan and Surigao. However, differentiation between the two populations was the lowest. This is probably due to the strong velocity of ocean current moving southwest which forms a counter-clockwise gyre (approx. 20 cm/s) at 124° E section of the Bohol Sea.

```
Carbin (26)
Tañon Strait (13)
                                               SE Samar
                                                          (10)
                                                                                  SES
                                   BOL
                                          CAM
                                                  CAR
                                                          PAM
                                                                  SUR
                                                                          SPR
                             BOL
                                       0
                             CAM
                                   0.028
                                            0
             (15)
      Spratly
                             CAR 0.068*
                                           0.016
                                                    n
                             PAM
                                   0 149*
                                           0.019 0.173*
                                                            n
                                                           -0.004
                             SUR
                                   0.113*
                                           0.031
                                                  0.156*
                                                                     n
                                   0.107*
                                          0.047*
                                                  0.123*
                                                          0.163* 0.150*
                             SPR
                                                                            0
  Camiguin (26)
                                          0.425*
                                                          0.519* 0.483* 0.487*
                             SES
                                   0 444*
                                                  0.482*
                                                                                   п
                       (23)
           Pamilacan
         Surigao (17)
```

Figure 2. Phylogram showing the genetic relationship of seven *Tridacna crocea* populations from Central Philippines. Pairwise differences of F_{ST} derived from Kimura-2 P (1000 permutations) were clustered using Neighbor joining implemented in PHYLIP v. 3.65 and TreeView. * significant, p< 0.05. Values in parenthesis pertains to the number of individuals.

Despite the proximity of Bolisong to Carbin (161.6 km) reef as compared with the other populations, it showed significant differentiation. This maybe correlated with the existing current flow within Tañon Strait, particularly in the northern tip (opening) of the Strait. During the NE monsoon, flood tides bring predominantly strong northward surface current flow to the eastern side of the strait instead of flowing directly into the northern end. This pattern reverses during ebb tides. The water flow outwards at the southern and northwestern end of the strait. An outward flow from the Strait in the northern end is predominant regardless of the tides during the NE monsoon, so that even when the current direction reverses during ebb tide, it does not receive water from Carbin Reef. During SW monsoon, surface currents in the northern boundary do not undergo complete reversals but cross the strait just off the northern tip of Negros Island. This probably limits the flow of propagules from Cabin reef to Bolisong and vice versa. On the other hand, the affinity of Bolisong with Camiguin can be correlated with the complete reversal of the current flow at the southern boundary as tides changed, bringing influx from the Bohol Sea (Fig. 1). The

non-significant genetic differentiation between Camiguin and Carbin ($F_{ST} = 0.02$) could not be explained except for the ebb current pattern brought about by the southwest monsoon (Fig. 3.). This observation, however, is not unique in this study. Benzie and Williams (1997) obtained a non-significant genetic differentiation between Bantayan Island (which is located at the northern tip of Tañon Strait) and Tawi-Tawi ($F_{ST} = -0.002$) which are separated by greater geographic distance compared to Camiguin and Carbin.

It was expected for SE Samar population to have high genetic affinity with Surigao populations and consequently with the rest of the populations in the Bohol Sea because of the homogenizing effect of the strong, persistent current flows downstream of the North Equatorial Current, particularly the Mindanao current, to the dispersal of pelagic larvae (Fig.1). However, a high genetic differentiation and a very limited gene flow were obtained. These results were consistent with the observation obtained by Ravago et al. (2007) where they found fine-scale genetic differentiation for Tridacna crocea populations within Kuroshio and Mindanao current regions. The reef from which the samples are collected was located at the inner side of Camanga, Salcedo (Fig. 3.9) which is about 11° 06' N and 125° 36' E. The presence of a land barrier which encloses the bay prevents the influence of the NEC into it and consequently from flowing with the Mindanao current southward. Previous study conducted by Ravago et al. (2007) using allozymes of Tridacna crocea observed significant genetic differentiation between Homonhon and Dinagat Island (70 to 90 km apart, FST = 0.135) and between the two islands of Dinagat (20 km apart, FST = 0.069). Ravago et al. (2007) correlated this variation to the strong tidal currents flowing through the Surigao Strait, coupled with the high rugosity of coastlines in the area, which may affect larval dispersal, entrainment potential and recruitment.

The genetic structure of *Tridacna crocea* in Central Philippines seems to be consistent with other studies correlating genetic variation with hydrographic barriers to dispersal. There is connectivity within reefs in Central Philippines particularly in the Bohol Sea, and Tanon Strait. Surigao appears to be the source of *T. crocea* propagules for Pamilacan and Camiguin and probably other nearby reefs. South China Sea can be both a sink and a source of propagules of the Bohol sea populations. Southeastern Samar appears to be an outlier population, having consistently very high F_{ST} values (genetic distances) from the rest of the sites. To strengthen the existing MPAs around Bohol Sea and the neighboring seas and straits, management should be based on the connectivity of these sites, as well as, the ecological role that a particular site provides either as a sink or source of propagules. Lastly, the mitochondrial DNA *cytochrome c oxidase* 1 (CO1) can be used to detect fine-scale genetic variation.



Figure 3. Relationship between F_{ST} values and geographic distance. SE Samar was omitted (r = 0.3810, 0.0280 from 1000 per randomizations).

Acknowledgment

The researcher would like to thank Dr. Paul Barber and Timery DeBoer for sharing the Tridacna CO1 primer sequences, Dr. Carmen Ablan-Lagman, and Rachel Ravago-Gotangco for the helpful advice and comments that have greatly strengthened the study and manuscript. Edna Sabater and Jasper Maypa for the map. This study was partly funded by the Commission on Higher Education-GIA and Buhi Foundation/Engr. Bonifacio Commandante.

References

- Ablan, M.C.A. (2006). Genetics and the study of fisheries connectivity in developing countries. Fisheries Research 78:158–168.
- Ablan, M.C. (2005). Assessment of analysis methods for using microsatellite markers for the identification of stock boundaries and estimation of exchanges among populations of Dascyllus trimaculatus and Pterocaesio pisang in the Bohol Sea, Central Philippines. A Ph.D. Dissertation.
- Alcazar, S. (1988). Spawning and larval rearing of tridacnid clams in the Philippines. In: J.Copland and J. Lucas, eds. GiantClams in Asia and the Pacific. ACIAR Monograph No. 9, Canberra. pp. 125-128.
- Alcala, A.C. (1986). Distribution and abundance of giant clams mollusks (Family Tridacnidae) in the south-central Philippines. Silliman Journal, 33: 65-72.
- Aliño P.M., E.D. Gomez (1993). Philippine coral reef conservation: its si gnificance to the South China Sea. In: Yamazoto K., Ishiyima S, Sakihara S, Taira H, Shimabukuro Z,Teruya F, Nishihir F (eds) Development and conservation of the Asia-Pacific region. Proc Reg ConfEast-West Center Assoc. The East-West Center Association, Okinawa,p 222–229
- Altschul, S.F., W. Gish, W. Miller, E.W. Myers and D. J. Lipman (1990). *Basic local alignment search tool*. J Mol Biol 215:403410.
- Barber, P., and S. L. Boyce (2006). *Estimating diversity of Indo Pacific coral reef stomatopods through DNA barcoding of stomatopod larvae*. Proceedings of the Royal Society B Biological Sciences 273:2053 2061
- Benzie, J.A.H. and S.T. Williams 1997. *Genetic structure of giant clam* (*Tridacna maxima*) populations in the West Pacific is not consistent with dispersal by present-day ocean currents. Evolution51:768–783.
- Becker, B.J., L. A. Levin, F. J. Fodrie, and P. A. McMillan (2007). *Complex larval connectivity patterns among marine populations*. PNAS 104: 3267–3272.

- Caley, M.J., Carr, M.H., Hixon, M.A., Hughes, T.P., Jones, G.P., Menge, B.A., (1996). Recruitment and the local dynamics of open marine populations. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 27, 477–500.
- DeBoer, T.S., M. D. Subia, M. V. Ambariyanto, Erdmann, K. Kovitvongsa, and P. H. Barber. In Press. *Phylogeography and limited genetic connectivity in the endangered giant boring clam, Tridacna crocea, across the Coral Triangle.*
- Drummond A.J., B. Ashton, M. Cheung, J. Heled, M. Kearse, R. Moir, S. Stones-Havas, T. Thierer, A. Wilson (2008). *Geneious v4.0*, Available from <u>http://www.geneious.com/</u>
- Excoffier, L., G. Laval, and S. Schneider (2006). Arlequin ver. 3.1: An integrated software package for population genetics data analysis.
 Computational and Molecular Population Genetics Lab (CMPG), Institute of Zoology University of Berne, Switzerland.
- Gomez, E. & A.C. Alcala (1988). Giant clams in the Philippines. In: Giant clams in Asia and the Pacific, J.W. Copland and J.S. Lucas (eds.) ACIAR Monograph. pp. 51-53.
- Heslinga, G., T.w. Watson and T. Isamu. (1990). *Giant Clam Farming*. Pacific Fisheries Development Foundation (NMFS/NOAA), Honolulu, Hawaii. 179 pp.
- Kinlan B.P., Gaines S.D. and Lester S.E. 2005. *Propagule dispersal* and the scales of marine community process. Divers Distrib 11:139 148
- Kochzius, M. and A. Nuryanto. In press. Strong genetic populationstructure in the boring clam Tridacna crocea across the Indo Malay Archipelago: Implications related to evolutionary processes and connectivity.
- Laurent, V., S. Planes and B. Salvat (2002). High variability of genetic pattern in giant clam (Tridacna maxima) populations within French Polynesia. Biol. Journal of the Linean Society 77:221-231.
- Luttikhuizen, P. C., J. Campo, J. van Bleijswijk, K. T.C.A. Peijnenburg & H.W. van der Veer (2007). *Phylogeography of the common shrimp*,

Crangon crangon (L.) across its distribution range. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 46 (2008) 1015–1030. www.sciencedirect.com.

- Meñez, L.A. B., C.L. Villanoy and L. T. David (2006). Movement of Water Across Passages Connecting Philippine Inland Sea Basins. Science Diliman. 18:2, 10-17.
- Mora, C.and P.F. Sale (2002). Are populations of coral reef fish open or closed? Trends in Ecology and Evolution. 17: 422-428.
- Nitani, H. (1972). Beginning of the Kuroshio. In: Stommel H, Yoshida K(eds.) Kuroshio: its physical aspects. University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo.
- Palumbi, S.R., 2001. The ecology of marine protected areas. In: M. Bertness, S.D. Gaines and M.E. Hay, eds. Marine Ecology: The New Synthesis. Sinauer, Sunderland, Massachusetts, USA. pp. 509-530.
- Qu, T. (2002). Depth Distribution of the Subtropical Gyre in the North *Pacific*. Journal of Oceanography.58:525-529.
- Qiu B. and R. Lukas (1996). Seasonal and interannual variability of the North Equatorial Current, the Mindanao Current, and the Kuroshio along the Pacific western boundary. J Geophys Res C 101:12315 12330.
- Ravago-Gotanco, R. G., R. M. Magsino, and M. A. Juinio-Menez (2007). Influence of the North Equatorial Current on the population genetic structure of Tridacna crocea (Mollusca : Tridacnidae) along the eastern Philippine seaboard. Marine Ecology-Progress Series 336:161-168.
- Rozas, J., and R. Rozas (1999). DnaSP version 3: an integrated program for molecular population genetics and molecular evolution nalysis. Bioinformatics 15:174–175.
- Sale, P.F. and J. P. Kritzer (2003). Determining the extent and spatial scale of population connectivity: decapods and coral reef fishes compared. Fisheries Research 65: 153–172
- Sale, P.F., (1998). *Appropriate spatial scales for studies of reef-fish ecology*. Aust. J. Ecol. 23, 202–208.

- Roberts, C.M. (1997). Connectivity and management of Caribbean coral reefs. Science 278:1454–1457
- Sale, P.F., R. K. Cowen, B. S. Danilowicz, G.P. Jones, J.P Kritzer, K. C. Lindeman, S. Planes, N.V.C. Polunin, G.R. Russ, Y.J. Sadovy and R.S. Steneck (2006). *Critical science gaps impede use of no-take fishery reserves*. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 20 (2): 74-80.
- Shanks, A.L., Grantham B.A., Carr M.H. 2003. *Propagule dispersal distance* and the size and spacing of marine reserves. Ecol Appl 13:S159 S169.
- Scheltema, R.S. (1986). Long-distance dispersal by planktonic larvae of shoal-water Benthic invertebrates among central Pacific islands. Bull Mar Sci 39:241–256.
- Scheltema, R.S. (1988). Initial Evidence for the Transport of Teleplanic Larvae of Benthic Invertebrates Across the East Pacific Barrier. Biol. Bull. 174: 145-152.
- Shefer, S., A. Abelson, O. Mokady, E. Geffen (2004). *Red to Mediterranean* Sea bioinvasion: natural drift through the Suez Canal, or antrophogenic transport? Molecular Ecology, 12: 2333-2343.
- Takaomi, A., M. J. Miller and K. Tsukamoto (2003). Larval duration of the tropical eel Anguilla celebesensis from Indonesian and Philippine coasts Vol. 251: 255–261.
- Tañon Strait Commission (2002). *Tañon Strait Oceanographic Study Final Report.*

Treml, E.A., P.N. Halpin, D. L. Urban and L. F. Pratson (2008). *Modeling population connectivity by ocean currents, a graph-theoretic approach for marine conservation.* Landscape Ecol. 23:19–36

- U.S. Navy Layered Ocean Model (NLOM) for Bohol Sea region.
- Ward, R.D. (2000). *Genetics in fisheries management*. Hydrobiologia 420:191-201.

- Wasko A.P., C. Martins, C. Oliveira and F. Foresti (2003). *Nondestructive genetic sampling in fish*. An improved method for DNA extraction from fish fins and scales. Hereditas 138:161-165.
- Wijffels, S., E. Firing and J. Toole (1995). *The mean current structure and variability of the Mindanao Current at* 8° N. J Geo-phys Res 100:18421–18435
- Yu, E.T., M.A. Juinio-Menez and V. D. Monje (2000). Sequence Variationin the ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacer of Tridacna crocea. Marine Biotechnol. 2: 511-516.